

WTC SOLUTIONS TO A GENERALIZED NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

HIDESHI YAMANE

ABSTRACT. A generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation admits WTC formal solutions as was shown by Clarkson. We show that they are convergent and determine real-analytic solutions near a noncharacteristic, movable singularity manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(1.1) \quad iu_t + u_{xx} = 2|u|^2u + a(x, t)u$$

in an open set of $\mathbb{R}_{x,t}^2$. Here $a(x, t)$ is a real-analytic function of the form

$$(1.2) \quad a(x, t) = x^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}q'(t) - q(t)^2 \right) + xp_1(t) + p_0(t) + iq(t)$$

with real-valued real-analytic functions $p_0(t)$, $p_1(t)$ and $q(t)$ in an open interval I .

Clarkson ([2]) constructed a family of formal solutions in the form of a Laurent series (WTC expansion as in [12]). Let $\psi(t)$ be an *arbitrary* real-valued real-analytic function in I and set $\Psi(x, t) = x + \psi(t)$. Then he found formal solutions in the form

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(t) \Psi(x, t)^{j-1} = \Psi^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j \Psi^j, \quad u_0 \neq 0.$$

Its convergence is not discussed in [2]. In the present paper, we shall show that this series is convergent and determines a real-analytic solution. It is singular along the curve $\Sigma = \{(x, t); t \in I, \Psi(x, t) = 0\}$. In Painlevé parlance ([1]), it is a noncharacteristic, movable singularity manifold. The convergence of WTC or related solutions is also discussed in [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [11] and [13].

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\psi(t)$, there exists a family of real-analytic solutions $u(x, t)$ of the form (1.3) in $W \setminus \Sigma$. Here $W = W(u)$ is an open neighborhood of Σ depending on u and the sum $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j \Psi^j$ converges locally uniformly in a complex neighborhood of W . The 0-th coefficient u_0 is an arbitrary constant whose absolute value is 1 and the imaginary parts of $u_3 \bar{u}_0$ and $iu_4 \bar{u}_0$ are arbitrary.*

Remark 1.2. The real parts of $u_3 \bar{u}_0$ and $iu_4 \bar{u}_0$ have to satisfy (2.5) and (2.13) below respectively.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q55.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear Schrödinger equation, WTC expansion.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.17540182), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

2. FORMAL SOLUTIONS

Following an idea in [2], we introduce a system of nonlinear equations:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = 2u^2v + au, \\ -iv_t + v_{xx} = 2uv^2 + \bar{a}v, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{a} = \overline{a(x, t)}$ is the complex conjugate of $a(x, t)$. If $\bar{u} = v$ holds, then u solves (1.1). We shall construct *formal* solutions (u, v) to (2.1) satisfying

- (1.3) and $v(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_j(t) \Psi(x, t)^{j-1}$, $v_0 \neq 0$,
- $\bar{u}_j = v_j$ ($j \geq 0$).

Basically it shall be just a review of [2], but we shall lay greater emphasis on complex conjugacy.

Comparing the coefficients of Ψ^{-3} in (2.1), we find $u_0v_0 = 1$. Combining it with $\bar{u}_0 = v_0$, we get $|u_0(t)| = |v_0(t)| = 1$. Maximum Principle implies that u_0 and v_0 are constants.

By elementary computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t + u_{xx} &= \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} iu'_{j-2} \Psi^{j-3} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} i(j-2)u_{j-1} \psi' \Psi^{j-3} \\ &\quad + 2u_0 \Psi^{-3} + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-1)(j-2)u_j \Psi^{j-3}, \\ -iv_t + v_{xx} &= \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (-iv'_{j-2}) \Psi^{j-3} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-i)(j-2)v_{j-1} \psi' \Psi^{j-3} \\ &\quad + 2v_0 \Psi^{-3} + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-1)(j-2)v_j \Psi^{j-3}, \\ 2u^2v &= 2\Psi^{-3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=j} u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} v_{\gamma} \Psi^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Psi^{j-3} A_j(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Here (by $u_0v_0 = 1$)

$$A_0(u, v) = 2u_0, \quad A_1(u, v) = 4u_1 + 2u_0^2v_1$$

and, when $j \geq 2$,

$$A_j(u, v) = 4u_j + 2u_0^2v_j + B_j(u, v), \quad B_j(u, v) = \sum_{S(j)} 2u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} v_{\gamma}.$$

The triple (α, β, γ) ranges over $S(j) = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma); \alpha + \beta + \gamma = j \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma < j\}$ in the sum defining $B_j(u, v)$. Note that $N_j = \text{card } S(j) = (j-1)(j+4)/2$. By symmetry, we have

$$2uv^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Psi^{j-3} A_j(v, u).$$

The potential $a(x, t)$ is a polynomial in x of degree 2 and is expanded in the form

$$a(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^2 a_j(t) \Psi^j, \quad a_j(t) = \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j a}{\partial x^j} \Big|_{x=-\psi(t)}.$$

Then we have

$$au = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \Psi^{j-3} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(j-2,2)} a_k u_{j-k-2}, \quad \bar{a}v = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \Psi^{j-3} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(j-2,2)} \bar{a}_k v_{j-k-2}.$$

By equating the terms for $j = 1$, we get

$$\begin{cases} -iu_0\psi' = 4u_1 + 2u_0^2v_1, \\ iv_0\psi' = 4v_1 + 2u_1v_0^2. \end{cases}$$

Set $\varphi(t) = i\psi'/2$ (purely imaginary). Then we have

$$u_1(t) = -u_0\varphi(t), \quad v_1(t) = v_0\varphi(t).$$

It immediately follows that $\bar{u}_1 = v_1$, $u_1v_1 = -\varphi^2$, $u_1v_0 = -\varphi$, $u_0v_1 = \varphi$.

By equating the terms for $j = 2$, we get

$$\begin{cases} 0 = 4u_2 + 2u_0^2v_2 + 4u_0u_1v_1 + 2u_1^2v_0 + a_0u_0, \\ 0 = 4v_2 + 2u_2v_0^2 + 4u_1v_0v_1 + 2u_0v_1^2 + \bar{a}_0v_0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(2.2) \quad u_2 = \frac{u_0}{6}(2\varphi^2 - 2a_0 + \bar{a}_0), \quad v_2 = \frac{v_0}{6}(2\varphi^2 + a_0 - 2\bar{a}_0)(= \bar{u}_2).$$

Later, supplementary formulas like

$$u_2v_0 = \frac{1}{6}(2\varphi^2 - 2a_0 + \bar{a}_0), \quad u_1v_2 = \frac{1}{6}\varphi(-2\varphi^2 - a_0 + 2\bar{a}_0)$$

shall be useful.

We encounter a resonance at $j = 3$. We get

$$\begin{cases} iu'_1 + iu_2\psi' + 2u_3 = 4u_3 + 2u_0^2v_3 + B_3(u, v) + a_0u_1 + a_1u_0, \\ -iv'_1 - iv_2\psi' + 2v_3 = 4v_3 + 2u_3v_0^2 + B_3(v, u) + \bar{a}_0v_1 + \bar{a}_1v_0. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying these equations by v_0 and u_0 respectively, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad -2u_3v_0 - 2u_0v_3 = -iu'_1v_0 - iu_2v_0\psi' + v_0B_3(u, v) + a_0u_1v_0 + a_1(\equiv r_1),$$

$$(2.4) \quad -2u_3v_0 - 2u_0v_3 = iu_0v'_1 + iu_0v_2\psi' + u_0B_3(v, u) + \bar{a}_0u_0v_1 + \bar{a}_1(\equiv r_2).$$

The left hand sides coincide. Let us prove that the compatibility condition $r_1 = r_2$ is satisfied. By using $u_0v_1 + u_1v_0 = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_3(u, v) &= 4u_0u_1v_2 + 4u_0u_2v_1 + 4u_1u_2v_0 + 2u_1^2v_1, \\ v_0B_3(u, v) &= 4(u_0v_2)(u_1v_0) + 4(u_2v_0)(u_0v_1 + u_1v_0) + 2(u_1v_0)(u_1v_1) \\ &= 4(u_0v_2)(u_1v_0) + 2(u_1v_0)(u_1v_1) = (u_1v_0)(4u_0v_2 + 2u_1v_1) \\ &= \varphi \left(\frac{2}{3}\varphi^2 - \frac{2}{3}a_0 + \frac{4}{3}\bar{a}_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= -iu'_1v_0 - 2u_2v_0\varphi + v_0B_3(u, v) + a_0u_1v_0 + a_1 \\ &= i\varphi' - 2\varphi \left(\frac{1}{3}\varphi^2 - \frac{1}{3}a_0 + \frac{1}{6}\bar{a}_0 \right) + \varphi \left(\frac{2}{3}\varphi^2 - \frac{2}{3}a_0 + \frac{4}{3}\bar{a}_0 \right) - a_0\varphi + a_1 \\ &= i\varphi' - a_0\varphi + \bar{a}_0\varphi + a_1. \end{aligned}$$

We see that r_1 is real-valued because $a_1(t) = \partial a / \partial x|_{x=-\psi(t)} \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $r_1 = \bar{r}_1 = r_2$. Therefore (2.3) and (2.4) are compatible.

If we assume $\bar{u}_3 = v_3$, then (2.3) becomes

$$(2.5) \quad -4\operatorname{Re}(u_3 v_0) = i\varphi' - a_0\varphi + \bar{a}_0\varphi + a_1 (\in \mathbb{R}).$$

It has infinitely many real-analytic solutions.

We encounter another resonance at $j = 4$. We obtain

$$\begin{cases} iu'_2 + 2iu_3\psi' + 6u_4 = 4u_4 + 2u_0^2v_4 + B_4(u, v) + \sum_{k=0}^2 a_k u_{2-k}, \\ -iv'_2 - 2iv_3\psi' + 6v_4 = 4v_4 + 2u_4v_0^2 + B_4(v, u) + \sum_{k=0}^2 \bar{a}_k v_{2-k}. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying these equations by v_0 and u_0 respectively, we find that

$$(2.6) \quad 2u_4v_0 - 2u_0v_4 = -iu'_2v_0 - 2iu_3v_0\psi' + v_0B_4(u, v) + \sum_{k=0}^2 a_k u_{2-k}v_0 (\equiv \text{R1}),$$

$$(2.7) \quad -2u_4v_0 + 2u_0v_4 = iu_0v'_2 + 2iu_0v_3\psi' + u_0B_4(v, u) + \sum_{k=0}^2 \bar{a}_k u_0v_{2-k} (\equiv \text{R2}).$$

The left hand sides coincide up to the factor -1 . The compatibility condition is that the sum of the right hand sides is 0, that is, $\text{R1} + \text{R2} = 0$. We shall show that it is indeed satisfied.

Since $-u_2v_0 + u_0v_2 = \frac{1}{2}a_0 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{a}_0$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad -iu'_2v_0 + iu_0v'_2 = \frac{i}{2}(a_0 - \bar{a}_0)'.$$

Next, we calculate the part involving B_4 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} B_4(u, v) &= \sum_{S(4)} 2u_\alpha u_\beta v_\gamma \\ &= 2(2u_0u_1v_3 + 2u_0u_3v_1 + 2u_1u_3v_0 + 2u_0u_2v_2 + u_2^2v_0 + u_1^2v_2 + 2u_1u_2v_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using $u_0v_1 + u_1v_0 = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad v_0B_4(u, v) &= 4(u_0v_3)(u_1v_0) + 4(u_3v_0)(u_0v_1 + u_1v_0) \\ &\quad + 4(u_2v_0)(u_0v_2) + 2(u_2v_0)^2 + 2(u_1v_0)(u_1v_2) + 4(u_2v_0)(u_1v_1) \\ &= -4\varphi u_0v_3 + 4(u_2v_0)(u_0v_2) + 2(u_2v_0)^2 - 2\varphi u_1v_2 - 4\varphi^2 u_2v_0. \end{aligned}$$

Its complex conjugate is $u_0B_4(v, u)$ and φ is purely imaginary. So we find that

$$(2.10) \quad u_0B_4(v, u) = 4\varphi u_3v_0 + 4(u_2v_0)(u_0v_2) + 2(u_0v_2)^2 + 2\varphi u_2v_1 - 4\varphi^2 u_0v_2.$$

Since $-2iu_3v_0\psi' + 2iu_0v_3\psi' = 4\varphi(-u_3v_0 + u_0v_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad &-2iu_3v_0\psi' + 2iu_0v_3\psi' + v_0B_4(u, v) + u_0B_4(v, u) \\ &= 8(u_2v_0)(u_0v_2) + 2(u_2v_0)^2 + 2(u_0v_2)^2 \\ &\quad + 2\varphi(-u_1v_2 + u_2v_1) - 4\varphi^2(u_2v_0 + u_0v_2) \\ &= \frac{\varphi^2}{3}(-a_0 - \bar{a}_0) - \frac{a_0^2}{6} + \frac{2|a_0|^2}{3} - \frac{\bar{a}_0^2}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Last, by using $a_1 = \bar{a}_1$, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & \sum_{k=0}^2 a_k u_{2-k} v_0 + \sum_{k=0}^2 \bar{a}_k u_0 v_{2-k} \\
 &= a_0 u_2 v_0 + a_1 (-\varphi) + a_2 + \bar{a}_0 u_0 v_2 + \bar{a}_1 \varphi + \bar{a}_2 \\
 &= a_0 u_2 v_0 + \bar{a}_0 u_0 v_2 + \varphi(-a_1 + \bar{a}_1) + (a_2 + \bar{a}_2) \\
 &= \frac{\varphi^2}{3}(a_0 + \bar{a}_0) - \frac{1}{3}a_0^2 + \frac{1}{3}|a_0|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\bar{a}_0^2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.8), (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$R1 + R2 = \frac{i}{2}(a_0 - \bar{a}_0)' - \frac{1}{2}(a_0 - \bar{a}_0)^2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2.$$

Under the assumption (1.2), the compatibility condition $R1 + R2 = 0$ holds. Combining it with $\overline{R1} = R2$, we find that $R1$ is purely imaginary.

We require $\bar{u}_4 = v_4$. The condition to be satisfied by u_4 is (2.6), that is,

$$(2.13) \quad 4i\text{Im}(u_4 v_0) = -iu_2' v_0 - 2iu_3 v_0 \psi' + v_0 B_4(u, v) + \sum_{k=0}^2 a_k u_{2-k} v_0 = R1.$$

It has infinitely many solutions.

When $j \geq 5$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} j^2 - 3j - 2 & -2u_0^2 \\ -2v_0^2 & j^2 - 3j - 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_j \\ G_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_j &= B_j(u, v) - iu_{j-2}' - i(j-2)u_{j-1}\psi' + \sum_{k=0}^2 a_k u_{j-k-2}, \\
 G_j &= B_j(v, u) + iv_{j-2}' + i(j-2)v_{j-1}\psi' + \sum_{k=0}^2 \bar{a}_k v_{j-k-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The matrix is invertible (that is, there are no more resonances) and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix} = M_j \begin{pmatrix} F_j \\ G_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$M_j = \frac{1}{(j+1)j(j-3)(j-4)} \begin{pmatrix} j^2 - 3j - 2 & 2u_0^2 \\ 2v_0^2 & j^2 - 3j - 2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta_j & \epsilon_j \\ \epsilon_j' & \delta_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $\bar{u}_j = v_j$ ($j \leq 4$), then we have $\bar{u}_j = v_j$ for $j \geq 5$ as well.*

Proof. It can be proved by induction. Note that $\bar{F}_j = G_j$ holds. The complex conjugate of $B_j(u, v)$ is $B_j(v, u)$. $\square$

3. ESTIMATES IN THE COMPLEX DOMAIN

To show the convergence of the series in (1.3), we shall prove some estimates on u_j . They shall be derived in the complex domain, rather than in the real domain, since Cauchy's estimate and its variant due to Nagumo work in the former. We shall “escape” to a complex neighborhood and then come back to $\mathbb{R}^2$, where $\bar{u} = v$ and (1.1) holds.

The function $\bar{a}(x, t)$ is real-analytic and we can extend it to the complex domain as a holomorphic function. Note that its value is not generally the complex conjugate of $a(x, t)$. (If x is real, the complex conjugate of ix is $-ix$, but for a complex number z , the conjugate of iz is not $-iz$). Nevertheless, by abuse of notation, we denote by $\bar{a} = \bar{a}(x, t)$ the holomorphic function obtained in this way. Here x and t are complex variables. By this convention, the system (2.1) can be considered in the complex domain.

All we have to is to find a family of *holomorphic* solutions (u, v) to (2.1) such that

- u has the form (1.3), and v has a similar form $v = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_j \Psi^{j-1}$, $v_0 \neq 0$.
- $\bar{u} = v$ if x and t are real.

Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be any open bounded interval whose closure $\bar{J}$ is contained in I . Let Ω be a bounded domain of $\mathbf{C}_t$ containing $\bar{J} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. For a point $t \in \Omega$, we denote the distance from the boundary of Ω to t by $d = d(t)$.

Fix u_0, u_3 and u_4 . Then all the other coefficients are determined. By shrinking Ω if necessary, we can assume that u_j, v_j ($j \leq 4$), ψ', p_0, p_1 and q are holomorphic in a neighborhood of the closure of Ω and hence are bounded in Ω . In particular, there exists such a constant $M > 0$ that $|\psi'| \leq M$ holds on Ω . Moreover, all the other u_j 's and v_j 's are holomorphic in the same neighborhood, and each of them is bounded on Ω , although they are not necessarily uniformly bounded.

Now we have:

Proposition 3.1. There exists a positive constant C such that for $j \geq 0$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad |u_j(t)| \leq \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}, \quad |v_j(t)| \leq \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}$$

on Ω .

Proof. Note that $|u_0| = |v_0| = 1$.

There exists a positive integer $j_0 \geq 5$ such that $j \geq j_0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \delta_j N_j < 3/5, \quad 0 < \delta_j \{(j-1) + M(j-2) + 1\} < 1/5, \\ 0 < \epsilon_j N_j < 1/10, \quad 0 < \epsilon_j \{(j-1) + M(j-2) + 1\} < 1/10. \end{aligned}$$

If $C(> 1)$ is sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_k(t)| &\leq C \quad (k = 0, 1, 2), \\ |u_j(t)| &\leq \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}, \quad |v_j(t)| \leq \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j} \quad (j \leq j_0), \\ ed(t)/C^2 &\leq 1, \quad d(t)/C \leq 1, \quad d(t)^2/C \leq 1/3, \quad d(t)^3/C^2 \leq 1/3, \quad d(t)^4/C^3 \leq 1/3 \end{aligned}$$

on Ω . Then (3.1) holds if $j \leq j_0$.

Now let us prove (3.1) by induction on j . Assume that the inequality (3.1) holds for $u_0, v_0, \dots, v_{j-1}, u_{j-1}$, where j is some integer with $j \geq j_0$. From now on, we only discuss u_j , since v_j can be estimated in the same (symmetric) way.

If $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in S(j)$, we have

$$|u_\alpha u_\beta v_\gamma| \leq \frac{C^\alpha}{d(t)^\alpha} \frac{C^\beta}{d(t)^\beta} \frac{C^\gamma}{d(t)^\gamma} = \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}.$$

Therefore

$$(3.2) \quad |\delta_j B_j(u, v)| \leq \delta_j N_j \cdot \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j} \leq \frac{3}{5} \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}.$$

In order to estimate u'_{j-2} , we employ Nagumo's trick ([10]). For $t \in \Omega$, we have $|u_{j-2}(t)| \leq C^{j-2} d(t)^{-(j-2)}$. Fix some $t \in \Omega$ and let Γ_t be the circle $\{s \in \Omega; |s - t| = d(t)/(j-1)\}$. If $s \in \Gamma_t$, then $d(s) \geq (j-2)d(t)/(j-1)$ and

$$|u_{j-2}(s)| \leq C^{j-2} d(s)^{-(j-2)} \leq C^{j-2} \left(\frac{j-2}{j-1} d(t) \right)^{-(j-2)} \leq \frac{e C^{j-2}}{d(t)^{j-2}}.$$

By Cauchy's estimate (with the path Γ_t) and the assumption $ed(t)/C^2 \leq 1$, we obtain

$$|u'_{j-2}(t)| \leq \frac{e(j-1)C^{j-2}}{d(t)^{j-1}} \leq \frac{(j-1)C^j}{d(t)^j}.$$

Moreover we have estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |(j-2)u_{j-1}\psi'| &\leq (j-2) \frac{C^{j-1}M}{d(t)^{j-1}} \leq M(j-2) \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}, \\ \left| \sum_{k=0}^2 a_k u_{j-k-2} \right| &\leq C \left(\frac{C^{j-2}}{d(t)^{j-2}} + \frac{C^{j-3}}{d(t)^{j-3}} + \frac{C^{j-4}}{d(t)^{j-4}} \right) \leq \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \delta_j \{ -iu'_{j-2} - i(j-2)u_{j-1}\psi' + \sum_{k=0}^2 a_k u_{j-k-2} \} \right| \\ &\leq \delta_j \{ (j-1) + M(j-2) + 1 \} \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j} \leq \frac{1}{5} \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}. \end{aligned}$$

A combination of this estimate with (3.2) yields

$$(3.3) \quad |\delta_j F_j| \leq \frac{4}{5} \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}.$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad |\epsilon_j G_j| \leq \frac{1}{5} \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}.$$

By using (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$|u_j| \leq \frac{C^j}{d(t)^j}$$

and induction proceeds. $\square$

The series in (1.3) is convergent if $|\Psi(x, t)| < d(t)/C$. Since the interval J is arbitrary, the proof of Theorem 1.1 has been completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ablowitz M. J. and Clarkson P. A., “Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. (1991)
- [2] Clarkson P. A., Painlevé analysis of the damped, driven nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **109**(1-2) (1988), 109-126.
- [3] Joshi N. and Petersen J. A., A method of proving the convergence of the Painlevé expansions of PDEs, *Nonlinearity*, **7** (1994), 595-602.
- [4] Joshi N. and Petersen J. A., Complex blow-up in Burgers’ equation: an iterative approach, *bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **54**(3) (1996), 353-362.
- [5] Joshi N. and Srinivasan G. K., The radius of convergence and the well-posedness of the Painlevé expansions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Nonlinearity*, **10** (1997), 71-79.
- [6] Kichenassamy S. and Littman W., Blow-up surfaces for nonlinear wave equations, I, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **18**(3&4) (1993), 431-452.
- [7] Kichenassamy S. and Littman W., Blow-up surfaces for nonlinear wave equations, II, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **18**(11) (1993), 1869-1899.
- [8] Kichenassamy S. and Srinivasan G. K., The structure of WTC expansions and applications, *J. Phys. A*, **28** (1995), 1977-2004.
- [9] Kobayashi T., Singular solutions and prolongation of holomorphic solutions to nonlinear differential equations, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **34** (1998), 43-63.
- [10] Nagumo M., Über das Anfangswertproblem Parteller Differentialgleichungen, *Japan. J. Math.*, **18** (1941), 41-47.
- [11] Tahara H., and Yamane H., Logarithmic singularities of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **60**(2) (2008), 603-630.
- [12] Weiss J., Tabor M. and Carnevale G., The Painlevé property for partial differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **24** (1983), 522-526.
- [13] Yamane H., Nonlinear wave equations and singular solutions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135**(11) (2007), 3659-3667.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, GAKUEN 2-1, SANDA, HYOGO 669-1337, JAPAN

E-mail address: yamane@kwansei.ac.jp